

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT

Company/Mine: Genwal Resources, Inc/Crandall Canyon Mine	NOV # <u>N04-49-4-1</u>
Permit #: <u>C/015/032</u>	Violation # 1 of 1

A. SERIOUSNESS

1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that the event is NOT the same as the violation. Mark and explain each event.				
	a.	Activity outside the approved permit area.			
	b.	Injury to the public (public safety).			
	c.	Damage to property.			
	d.	Conducting activities without appropriate approvals.			
	e.	Environmental harm.			
	f.	Water pollution.			
	g.	Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential.			
	h.	Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover.			
	i.	No event occurred as a result of the violation.			
	j.	Other.			

Explanation: The USFS trail head is for public parking for individuals accessing the trail head. The area is posted by the operator that no mine related or employee parking is permitted in the trail head. The USFS has allowed the mine to utilize this area for snow storage and ocassionally for a longwall move. The snow storage in this trail head is approved in the mining and reclamation plan. When the area has been used during a longwall move the USFS has issued a special use permit. The USFS called and e-mailed the Division on 08/03/04 with a complaint that the trail head was being used by mine personnel for parking (e-mail w/photograph). The area was inspected on 08/17/04 and a vechicle (Dodge Neon Utah Plate 890LZA) was parked in the trail head. The photograph from the USFS was reviewed and the same vehicle appeared in that photograph.

2. Has the event occurred? Yes

If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: A Dodge Neon was parked in the USFS trail head on 08/17/04. The area is clearly posted that mine related and employee parking is not permitted in the USFS trail head.

Event	Violation	Inspector's Statement	NOV/CO # _ Violation #	N04-49-4-1 1 of 1		
	3.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend of the disturbed and/or permit area.				
Expla	anation:					
В.	<u>DEGI</u>	REE OF FAULT (Check the statements which appl	y to the viola	ntion and discuss).		
		Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due God), explain. Remember that the permittee is con actions of all persons working on the mine site.				
Expla	anation:					
		Was the violation the result of not knowing about I indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of l	•			
Expl	anation:					
		If the actual or potential environmental harm or har been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation operator did to correct it prior to being cited.				
Expl	anation:					
	\boxtimes	Was the operator in violation of a specific permit co	ondition?			
		The area is posted with a sign that specifies that no take place in the USFS trail head.	mine related	or employee		
		Has DOGM or OSM cited the violation in the past type of warning or enforcement action taken.	? If so, give	the dates and the		
Expl	anation:					

C. **GOOD FAITH**

In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation 1. must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation:

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: The operator indicated that another car was parked in the USFS trail head on 08/18/04 and that the car was towed out of the USFS trail head. The abatement for the violation was listed as immediately. The violation was issued on 08/19/04 at the completion of the complete inspection.

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? No If yes, explain.

Explanation: _____

Karl R. Houskeeper

Authorized Representative

ant A. Nouskaper August 20, 2004

O:\015032.CRA\Compliance\2004\N04-49-4-1event.doc